

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.updb.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/770,385	01/29/2001	H. Daniel Dulman	M4065.0376/P376	7102
24998	7590 12/05/2003		EXAMINER	

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP

2101 L STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20037-1526

MOHAMEDULLA, SALEHA R ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1756

DATE MAILED: 12/05/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

* ,						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/770,385	DULMAN ET AL.				
Authory Modell	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Saleha R. Mohamedulla	1756				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 28 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to an final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application and abandonment of this application are applications.	ation. A proper repl	y to a ition in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) 🔲 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d)  they present additional claims without cancell	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.			
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): NONE.						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			ind an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
10. Other:						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the product-by-process claims contain a structural limitation drawn to the opaque layer with a resist that is removed. However, this is a process step and not a structural limitation. The final product does not contain a resist. The prior art used in the rejections include all the structural limitations of the product-by-process claims.

SPM 11/25703

MARK E THEF
SUPERVISORY DATE OF CASHINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700